20506, or call area code (202) 606–8322, TDD (202) 606–8282. Advance notice of any special needs or accommodations is appreciated.

#### Nancy E. Weiss,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 99–1435 Filed 1–21–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7536–01–M

# NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

## Meeting of the National Museum Services Board

AGENCY: Institue of Museum and Library

Services, NFAH.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the National Museum Services Board. This notice also describes the function of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under the Government through the Sunshine Act (Public Law 94–409) and regulations of the Institute of Museum and Library Services, 45 CFR 1180.84.

**TIME/DATE:** 10:00 pm—12:00 pm—Friday, February 5, 1999.

STATUS: Open.

ADDRESS: the Madison Hotel, Fifteenth and M Streets, NW, Drawing Rooms I and II, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 862–1600.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Lyons, Special Assistant to the Director, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 510, Washington, DC 20506, (202) 606–4649.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Museum Services Board is established under the Museum Services Act, Title II of the Arts, Humanities, and Cultural Affairs Act of 1976, Public Law 94–462. The Board has responsibility for the general policies with respect to the powers, duties, and authorities vested in the Institute under the Museum Services Act.

The meeting on Friday, February 5, 1999 will be open to the public. If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact: Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20506–(202) 606–8536—TDD (202) 606–8636 at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting date.

74th Meeting of the National Museum Service Board, The Madison Hotel, 15th and M Streets, NW, Drawing Rooms I and II, Washington, DC, February 5, 1999, 10:00 AM—12:30 PM

#### Agenda

I. Chairman's Welcome and Approval of the Minutes of the 73rd NMSB Meeting— September 28, 1998

II. Director's Report

III Appropriations Report

IV. Legislative/Public Affairs Report

V. Office of Research and Technology Report VI. Office of Museum Services Program Report

VII. Office of Library Services Reports Dated: January 14, 1999.

#### Linda Bell,

Director of Policy, Planning and Budget, National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities, Institute of Museum and Library Services.

[FR Doc. 99–1496 Filed 1–21–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7036–01–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Public Comment on Recommended Improvements to the Oversight Processes for Nuclear Power Reactors

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing significant revisions to its processes for overseeing the safety performance of commercial nuclear power plants that include integrating the processes. As part of its proposal, the NRC staff established a new regulatory oversight framework with a set of performance indicators and associated thresholds, developed a new baseline inspection program that supplements and verifies the performance indicators, and created a continuous assessment process that includes a methodology for grading the regulatory response to performance on the basis of information derived from the performance indicators and inspection findings. The changes are the result of continuing work following public comment and workshops held on a previously noticed concept, the integrated review of the assessment process (IRAP) ["Public Comment on the Integrated Review of the Assessment Process for Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors," August 7, 1998; 63 FR 152, 42439]. Public comments are requested on the proposed regulatory framework, baseline inspection program, assessment process, and associated assessment tools. The NRC is soliciting comments

from interested public interest groups, the regulated industry, States, and concerned citizens. The NRC staff will consider comments it receives in developing a final proposal for implementing the new processes.

**DATES:** The comment period expires February 22, 1999. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to: Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: T-6 D59, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001. Hand deliver comments to: 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:45 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. on Federal workdays. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC.

Copies of SECY-99-007 and its attachments may be obtained from the NRC's Public Document Room at 2120 L St., N.W., Washington, DC 20003-1527, telephone 202-634-3273. Copies also may be obtained from the NRC's Internet web site at: http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/SECYS/index.html#1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Timothy J. Frye, Mail Stop: O–5 H4, Inspection Program Branch, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone 301–415–1287.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

Over the years, the NRC has developed and implemented different licensee performance assessment processes to address the specific assessment needs of the agency at the time. The systematic assessment of licensee performance (SALP) process was implemented in 1980 following the accident at Three Mile Island to allow for the systematic, long-term, integrated evaluation of overall licensee performance. The senior management meeting (SMM) process was implemented in 1986, following the loss-of-feedwater event at Davis-Besse, to bring to the attention of the highest levels of NRC management to plan a coordinated agency course of action for those plants the performance of which was of most concern to the agency. The plant performance review (PPR) process was implemented in 1990 to periodically adjust NRC's inspection